

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/417,065	10/13/1999	STEFAN B. EDLUND	AM9-99-066	6940
23334 75	90 07/16/2004		EXAMINER	
FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI & BIANCO P.L.			NGUYEN, NGA B	
	OMMERCE CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111			3628	
BOCA RATON	I, FL 33487		DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Community	09/417,065	EDLUND ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAN INC DATE COL	Nga B. Nguyen	3628	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status		. •	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 19-31 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/417,065

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the Amendment filed on April 2, 2004, which paper has been placed of record in the file.

2. Claims 19-31 are pending in this application.

Response to Arguments/Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-31 have been considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 19-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ojha et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,598,026.

Regarding to claim 19, Ojha teaches the buyer can enter a product purchase request (column 9, lines 18-55), communicate with multiple merchant auction sites to submit bids for the same item (see figures 8-9 and column 11, lines 5-35). It is obvious that with the figures 8-9, the buyer can determines if the product purchase request is available, determining if a current bid from the merchant auction site is below a limit maximum permitted that the buyer can afford, and placing a bid for the product purchase request with the merchant

Application/Control Number: 09/417,065

Art Unit: 3628

auction site. Moreover, the Ojha's system automatically other negotiations when the bid is accepted (column 18, lines 24-30). Because the buyer always wants the lowest price for the product, thus, for example, when the buyer win the auction at the lowest price at Merchant 1 (figures 8-9), it is obvious that the buyer can cancel the outstanding bid at another auction websites, e.g. Merchant 4, Merchant 6. If the buyer is outbid at the selected auction websites, the buyer continues to visit another auction websites to obtain the buyer's need. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Ojha's to include the feature above for the purpose of obtaining the product with the lowest price to satisfy the buyer's need by conducting the auction at the multiples merchant websites.

Moreover, it is well-known in the art to register the buyer at one merchant website to obtain a buyer identification and password. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature above with Ojha's for the security purpose.

Note that the recitation "without user interaction" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). Moreover, "to register at a plurality of auction sites to obtain a user identification and/or password", "to enter a product purchase request" recited in the body of the claim, in fact, required the interaction of the buyer (see specification page 9, lines 10-15).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/417,065

Art Unit: 3628

Regarding to claim 20, Ojha further discloses wherein one or more of method steps is implemented using a personal computer (figure 1, column 8, lines 49-67).

Regarding to claims 21-22, Ojha further discloses searching a manufacturing resource and/or inventory planning system for a product purchase request (column 9, lines 18-55).

Regarding to claim 23, Ojha further discloses merchant site is an Internet-based web merchant site (column 8, lines 54-58).

Regarding to claim 24, it is obvious to prioritize bid placement to permit only the lowest cost auction sites to be utilized in the bidding process. As discussed in claim 19, because the buyer always wants to purchase a product with the lowest price, so the buyer will choose the lowest cost auction sites to place a bid for the product. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the features above with Ojha's for the purpose of obtaining the product with lowest price by the buyer.

Claims 25-30 are written in means that are parallel the limitations found in claims 19-24, as discussed above, therefore are rejected by the same rationale.

Claim 31 is written in computer software that are parallel the limitations found in claim 19 as discussed above, therefore is rejected by the same rationale.

Conclusion

- 6. Claims 19-31 are rejected.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nga B. Nguyen, whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 09/417,065

Art Unit: 3628

number is (703) 306-2901. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM-6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hyung S. Sough, can be reached on (703) 308-0505.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

8. Any response to this action should be mail to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks c/o Technology Center 3600 Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326, (for formal communications intended for

entry)

or:

(703) 308-3961 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Seventh Floor (Receptionist).

Nga B. Nguyen

MgaNguyen___. July 9, 2004